

## **ECCF-Lite Review Comments**

### **Opening Summary**

1. What is the purpose of creating ECCF-lite specs?

**Audience = Developers** ==> If it is for facilitating creation of a service at a research institution to quickly share data within the organization (no external consumers), they will need some form of a service specification but do they really need to create ECCF specifications (even if Lite)?

**Audience = Service Consumers** ==> Service Consumers can use annotated WSDL or Javadocs API etc.

### **High-level Differences between full ECCF specs and Lite ECCF specs**

#### **Overall**

1. Written more geared towards the consumer of the service v/s service developers.
2. Doesn't provide the overall business use-case that the service is trying to cater to which are the main drivers for service capabilities.
3. Missing various deployment / installation / jurisdictional considerations, which the service implementer has to satisfy

#### **BF**

1. Doesn't capture behaviour details such as pre-conditions, post-conditions, exceptions etc.
2. Doesn't group the behaviours into functional profiles (minimum set of functionality which the users have to provide)

#### **IF**

1. Doesn't provide additional details about the Information Model such as vocabulary it is backed with etc.
2. Doesn't group the Information Model and the Vocabularies/Value-sets together into Semantic Profiles
3. Doesn't provide the platform specific details of the Information Model

#### **ECCF**

1. There are no compliance profiles which the service has to implement in order to be compliant
2. Missing conformance statements that the service has to adhere too